EARLY GASTRIC CANCER IN YOUNG, ASYMPTOMATIC CARRIERS **OF GERM-LINE E-CADHERIN MUTATIONS**

DAVID G. HUNTSMAN, M.D., FATIMA CARNEIRO, M.D., PH.D., FRANK R. LEWIS, M.D., PATRICK M. MACLEOD, M.D., ALLEN HAYASHI, M.D., KRISTIN G. MONAGHAN, PH.D., RAYMOND MAUNG, M.D., RAQUEL SERUCA, M.D., PH.D., CHARLES E. JACKSON, M.D., AND CARLOS CALDAS, M.D.

ABSTRACT

Background Germ-line truncating mutations in the E-cadherin (CDH1) gene have been found in families with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. These families are characterized by a highly penetrant susceptibility to diffuse gastric cancer with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance, predominantly in young persons. We describe genetic screening, surgical management, and pathological findings in young persons with truncating mutations in CDH1 from two unrelated families with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer.

Methods Mutation-specific predictive genetic testing was performed by polymerase-chain-reaction amplification, followed by restriction-enzyme digestion and DNA sequencing in Family 1 and by heteroduplex analysis in Family 2. A total gastrectomy was performed prophylactically in five carriers of mutations who were between 22 and 40 years old. In each case, the entire mucosa of the stomach was extensively sampled for microscopical analysis.

Results Superficial infiltrates of malignant signetring cells were identified in the surgical samples from all five persons who underwent gastrectomy. These early diffuse gastric cancers were multifocal in three of the five cases, and in one person infiltrates of malignant signet-ring cells were present in 65 of the 140 tissue blocks analyzed, representing in aggregate less than 2 percent of the gastric mucosa.

Conclusions We recommend genetic counseling and consideration of prophylactic gastrectomy in young, asymptomatic carriers of germ-line truncating CDH1 mutations who belong to families with highly penetrant hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. (N Engl J Med 2001;344:1904-9.)

Copyright © 2001 Massachusetts Medical Society.

AMILIAL diffuse gastric cancer is a disease with autosomal dominant inheritance in which gastric cancer develops at a young age.1-4 Germ-line truncating mutations in the E-cadherin gene (CDH1) have been found in several families with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer.5-9 Analysis of these families indicates that gastric cancer develops in three of every four carriers of a mutant CDH1 gene.¹⁰ Predictive genetic testing is therefore possible in these families, which raises the question of whether carriers of the mutation should undergo clinical surveillance and even prophylactic surgery. We describe genetic screening, surgical management, and pathological findings in young persons with a CDH1 mutation from two unrelated families with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer.

CASE REPORTS

Family 1

The proband in Family 1 (Subject IV-4), previously described by Gayther et al.,6 was a 24-year-old woman with a family history of gastric cancer (Fig. 1) who presented with left subcostal pain. Gastroscopy revealed mucosal hyperemia and friability. Multiple biopsy samples were histologically normal. Six weeks later, she returned with anorexia, weight loss, and abdominal bloating. A second gastroscopic examination again showed hyperemia but no focal lesions. Colonoscopy revealed bumpy mucosa at the splenic flexure that was shown on computed tomographic scanning to be consistent with tumor infiltration. A diagnosis of metastatic signet-ring-cell carcinoma was made on the basis of laparotomy and biopsy. A course of chemotherapy was initiated, but the subject died five months after the initial presentation. At autopsy, the gastric wall was markedly thickened because of infiltration by diffuse carcinoma.

The proband's mother (Subject III-1) had died of metastatic gastric cancer when she was 29 years old. She had presented at 25 years of age with vague dyspeptic symptoms. No abnormalities were detected by a barium contrast study of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Three years later, she returned to the physician with postprandial epigastric pain. A second barium study showed a mucosal defect in the lesser curvature, and biopsy revealed diffuse gastric cancer. She underwent chemotherapy but died within seven months.

The proband's maternal grandmother (Subject II-1) died of gastric cancer at the age of 32, her great-grandfather (Subject I-2) died of gastric cancer at the age of 43, and her great aunt (Subject II-3) died of gastric cancer at the age of 39 (Fig. 1). A diagnosis was made of a familial predisposition to gastric cancer with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance. The proband had two older sisters (Subjects IV-1 and IV-2) and a twin sister (Subject IV-3), all of whom were referred to a medical geneticist, who recommended a program of endoscopic screening. Subject IV-3 was asymptomatic, and both gastroscopy and random biopsies revealed no abnormality. She was concerned about the sensitivity of the procedure and requested a gastrectomy. The monozygosity of Subjects IV-3 and IV-4 was confirmed by means of a panel of six highly polymorphic DNA markers (data not shown).

Three months after the negative gastroscopy, an elective total

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org at UFBA on June 4, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright © 2001 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

From the Hereditary Cancer Program, British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver (D.G.H., P.M.M.); the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver (D.G.H.); the Sections of Medical Genetics (P.M.M.), Surgery (A.H.), and Pathology (R.M.), Victoria General Hospital, Victoria, B.C. — all in Canada; the Instituto de Patalogia e Imunologia Molecular and the Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal (E.C., R.S.); the Departments of Surgery (F.R.L.) and Medical Genetics (K.G.M., C.E.J.), Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit; and the Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom (D.G.H., C.C.). Address reprint requests to Dr. Huntsman at the Department of Pathology, British Columbia Cancer Agency, 600 W. 10th Ave., Vancouver, BC V5Z 1L3, Canada, or at dhuntsma@bccancer.bc.ca, or to Dr. Caldas at the Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Wellcome Trust/MRC Bldg., Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 2XY, United Kingdom, or at cc234@cam.ac.uk.



Figure 1. Pedigree of Family 1 with Predictive Genetic-Testing Results (Panel A) and Sequence Chromatogram of *CDH1* (Exon 12) (Panel B).

In Panel A, the squares represent male family members and the circles female family members; open symbols indicate unaffected persons and solid symbols affected persons. A slash over the symbol denotes death and a line under the symbol prophylactic gastrectomy. A plus sign indicates mutation-positive, a minus sign mutation-negative, and symbols in parentheses the results of predictive testing. The arrow identifies the proband. The age at diagnosis and the age at death (in parentheses) are indicated under each symbol. In Panel B, codon 598 is shaded in yellow. Subject IV-2 has the wild-type sequence, and Subject IV-1 is heterozygous for the C2095T mutation. Opa denotes opal nonsense mutation, one of the three nonsense codons predicted to result in protein truncation; NL denotes normal sequence, and Mut mutation; N in the nucleotide chromatogram indicates that both C and T are present.

gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y esophagojejunostomy was performed in Subject IV-3. The stomach was grossly normal. Multiple sections were taken from all regions of the stomach. Microscopic foci of intramucosal diffuse carcinoma were identified in two adjacent blocks from the gastric cardia (Fig. 2C and 2D). Seven years later, Subject IV-3 is free of disease, and repeated endoscopic examinations and biopsies of the esophagojejunostomy site have revealed no cancer.

Family 2

The proband of Family 2 (Subject IV-3), previously described by Gayther et al.,⁶ presented at 38 years of age with mild epigastric distress. She had a strong family history of gastric cancer (Fig. 3) and was therefore concerned that she might also be affected. A prophylactic total gastrectomy was performed. The stomach was histologically normal. Her half-brother (Subject IV-1) died of diffuse gastric cancer at the age of 44. Her half-sister (Subject IV-2) presented with vague abdominal symptoms at the age of 36. Seven years later, after multiple negative endoscopies, she requested an open gastric biopsy, which revealed diffuse gastric cancer. She underwent a total gastrectomy. The lymph nodes and the margins of the resection were free of cancer, and she was free of disease at this writing, eight years later.

The proband's sister (Subject IV-4) was diagnosed with diffuse gastric cancer at the age of 36 by open biopsy after a negative endoscopy. She underwent a total gastrectomy and was free of disease at this writing, eight years later. The mother of these subjects (Subject III-2) and three of her four siblings had gastric cancer. Subject III-2 died of metastatic diffuse gastric cancer at the age of 69, one year after undergoing gastrectomy for diffuse gastric cancer. One of her brothers (Subject III-5) died of diffuse gastric cancer when he was 32 years old. Her twin sisters, Subjects III-6 and III-7 (zygosity unknown), died of diffuse gastric cancer. Subject III-6 died when she was 32 years old, two months after receiving a diagnosis of diffuse gastric cancer. Subject III-7 had a prophylactic subtotal gastrectomy at the age of 32. She died of metastatic diffuse gastric cancer originating from the unresected gastric cardia when she was 56 years old.

METHODS

After informed consent (written in the case of Family 1 and oral in the case of Family 2) was obtained, DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood of Subjects IV-3 and IV-4 in Family 1 and from Subjects III-1, III-2, III-3, IV-2, and IV-4 in Family 2 by phenol-chloroform extraction. Mutation analysis was performed as previously described.^{6,11} In both Subject IV-3 and her twin sister, Subject IV-4 (Family 1), there was a single-strand conformation polymorphism band shift in exon 12. DNA sequencing revealed a C2095T nonsense mutation (R598X), which destroys a *Taq*I re-

N Engl J Med, Vol. 344, No. 25 · June 21, 2001 · www.nejm.org · **1905**

striction site. In Family 2, analysis of the exon 11 amplicon revealed a heteroduplex band in three affected persons but not in the two unaffected spouses of Subject III-2. This band results from a 1171insG mutation, which creates a frame shift predicted to truncate the protein at codon 587.

A program of genetic counseling and DNA testing was provided to the other members of both families. In Family 1, predictive genetic testing was performed on the proband's older sisters (Subjects IV-1 and IV-2) by digestion of the polymerase-chain-reaction amplicon with *TaqI* and DNA sequencing (Fig. 1). In Family 2, predictive testing by heteroduplex analysis was offered to 14 persons, including 13 first-degree relatives of the affected, presumed, or proven carriers of the mutation, 1 of whom (Subject IV-3) had previously undergone prophylactic gastrectomy (data not shown).

RESULTS

In Family 1, Subject IV-1 was a carrier of the mutation, and her sister Subject IV-2 tested negative. Subject IV-1, who was 35 years old at the time, had undergone yearly endoscopic examinations with multiple random gastric biopsies since she was 29 years old. After receiving counseling from a geneticist, a surgeon, and a dietitian, she decided to undergo elective gastrectomy. A total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y esophagojejunostomy was performed 15 months after her last gastroscopic examination. The procedure was well tolerated, and she was discharged from the hospital after one week.

The gastrectomy specimen was grossly normal both in appearance and by palpation. The whole stomach was sectioned, embedded in paraffin, and examined microscopically. Superficial infiltrates of malignant signet-ring cells were present in 65 of the 140 tissue blocks (Fig. 2A and 2B). No focus was larger than 8 mm in diameter, and the affected blocks were noncontiguous. In aggregate, the foci of cancer represented less than 2 percent of the gastric mucosa. Many of the foci were less than 1 mm in diameter, and all lay under normal-appearing surface epithelium. The carcinoma was present within 7 mm of the esophageal margin and within 13 mm of the duodenal margin of the resection. There was no lymphatic or vascular invasion, and all tumor foci were confined to the lamina propria. All 26 lymph nodes identified in the perigastric fat were free of metastasis. Subject IV-2, who does not have the mutation, had been screened by annual gastroscopy with random biopsies, and after counseling decided to forgo further screening.

In Family 2, 5 of the 14 persons tested carried the 1171insG mutation. After extensive counseling sessions, three of the five carriers of the mutation decided to undergo prophylactic total gastrectomy with reconstruction of the gastrointestinal tract by means of a Roux-en-Y esophagojejunostomy. The subjects' ages at gastrectomy were 22 years, 28 years, and 40 years (Fig. 3). All incisions healed well, and all three subjects returned to work within four months.

The three gastrectomy specimens were grossly normal but contained foci of early diffuse gastric cancer detected by complete microscopical examination of the gastric mucosa. These lesions were all characterized by infiltrates of signet-ring cells in the superficial portion of the lamina propria (Fig. 2F, 2G, and 2H). Early gastric cancer was unifocal in Subject V-3 and multifocal in Subjects V-1 and IV-6, with infiltrates present in several noncontiguous tissue blocks. There was no lymphatic or vascular invasion, and all lymph nodes were free of metastasis in all three cases. Subject IV-3, the proband, who had a prophylactic gastrectomy before genetic testing was available, does not carry the mutation. She was relieved to hear that she was not a carrier of the mutation, because it means her descendants are not at risk.

DISCUSSION

We describe five persons from two families with an inherited susceptibility to diffuse gastric cancer who underwent prophylactic total gastrectomy. Although these operations were prophylactic in intent, their outcome was presumably curative. In all five cases, neoplastic lesions that were undetected on gross examination were found only after extensive pathological studies of the gastrectomy specimen. These five cancers, which contained malignant signet-ring cells, were examined by two pathologists. In four of the gastrectomy specimens the entire gastric mucosa was examined, and in one case this required the processing of more than 200 tissue blocks. The signet-ring-cell infiltrates appeared either as isolated cells or in small clusters in the lamina propria underlying normal-appearing surface epithelium (Fig. 2). In three cases, multiple infiltrates were identified, including microscopic lesions less than 1 mm in diameter. In situ signet-ring

Figure 2 (facing page). Photomicrographs of Early Diffuse Gastric Cancers from the Five Prophylactic-Gastrectomy Specimens. Arrows identify regions of interest. In Panel A, staining with hematoxylin and eosin shows a superficial infiltrate of signet-ring carcinoma cells (×400). In Panel B, immunohistochemical staining with antibodies to type IV collagen (clone C-IV22; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) demonstrates the invasive nature of the signet-cell infiltrates: the thick basement membrane under the surface epithelium and around both glands and capillaries stains strongly, without distinct staining around the signet-ring cells (×400). In Panel C, periodic acid–Schiff with diastase staining for mucin demonstrates a signet-ring–cell infiltrate in the superficial lamina propria in the gastric cardia (×40). In Panel D, immunohistochemical staining for cytokeratin (clone CAM5.2, BD Pharmingen, Franklin Lakes, N.J.) shows the epithelial nature of the infiltrate (×40). In Panel E, staining with hematoxylin and eosin shows early diffuse gastric cancell lesions in the gastric cardia (×100). In Panels F, G, and H, staining with hematoxylin and eosin shows early diffuse gastric cancers (×100).

1906 • N Engl J Med, Vol. 344, No. 25 • June 21, 2001 • www.nejm.org



Family 1, Subject IV-1

Family 1, Subject IV-1



Family 1, Subject IV-3

Family 1, Subject IV-3





Family 2, Subject IV-6



Family 2, Subject V-1

Family 2, Subject V-3

N Engl J Med, Vol. 344, No. 25 · June 21, 2001 · www.nejm.org · **1907**



Figure 3. Pedigree of Family 2 with Predictive Genetic-Testing Results.

The squares represent male family members and the circles female family members; diamonds represent members of either sex. Open symbols indicate unaffected persons and solid symbols affected persons. A slash over the symbol denotes death, and a line under the symbol prophylactic gastrectomy. A plus sign indicates mutation-positive, a minus sign mutation-negative, and symbols in parentheses the results of predictive testing. The age at diagnosis and the age at death (in parentheses) are indicated under each symbol. To preserve anonymity, the sex and the results of testing for some family members are not given (such tests are indicated by asterisks); 2 of the 10 subjects for whom results are not shown were mutation-positive.

infiltrates were also present in both gastrectomy specimens from Family 1 (Fig. 2E). The natural history that these early cancers would have followed if they had been left untouched will never be known, but it is likely that at least some of them would have become clinically evident.

In both families we found truncating *CDH1* mutations that would be expected to disrupt the function of the E-cadherin protein. Inactivation of the second (wild-type) allele in a somatic cell of the gastric mucosa, as is observed with classic tumor-suppressor genes, would lead to a total loss of E-cadherin. In cases of hereditary diffuse gastric cancer, the wild-type allele could be inactivated by either a point mutation or *CDH1* promoter hypermethylation.¹² The carriers of the mutations in these families had a highly penetrant cancer-susceptibility phenotype, and gastric cancer developed in all obligate carriers of mutations except Subject II-1 and possibly Subject II-6 in Family 2. Genetic testing was performed within the context of a program of counseling, as described in the guide-lines of the International Gastric Cancer Linkage Consortium.¹⁰

The clinical options available for carriers of germline *CDH1* mutations are limited because of the current difficulty of detecting diffuse gastric cancers at an early, treatable stage. Diffuse gastric carcinomas often underlie a grossly and histologically normal surface epithelium (as in the cases described here), which makes it difficult to detect small lesions by endoscopy. Four of the five gastrectomies were performed within 15 months of an unrevealing endoscopic examination. The lesions were not identifiable by gross examination of the stomach mucosa in any of the prophylactic-gastrectomy specimens with diffuse gastric cancer. Random biopsies had also failed to reveal the cancers in Family 1.

Since no marker is available for early detection, pro-

phylactic gastrectomy seems reasonable for the carriers of mutations in families with highly penetrant mutations. It seems prudent to consider gastrectomy at an age younger than that of the youngest affected person in the family. We would not recommend prophylactic gastrectomy for members of a family with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer in which a causative mutation has not been identified or for members of families with less highly penetrant forms of susceptibility to gastric cancer.

Prophylactic surgery is an important part of the management of other syndromes of susceptibility to cancer. Prophylactic mastectomy greatly reduces the risk of breast cancer, and both prophylactic mastectomy and, to a lesser extent, prophylactic oophorectomy are predicted to increase the life expectancy of carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations.^{13,14} Prophylactic thyroidectomy to prevent medullary thyroid carcinoma is the standard of care for children from families with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 or familial medullary thyroid carcinoma who have mutations in the RET proto-oncogene.15 In cases of familial adenomatous polyposis coli syndrome, prophylactic colectomy is currently the only means of preventing colorectal carcinoma.16,17 Colectomy extends the life expectancy of patients with this syndrome and exposes them to other related risks, such as duodenal, gastric, or biliary carcinomas.^{17,18} Gastrectomy may extend the life of persons who are at risk for hereditary diffuse gastric cancer and thereby expose them to the risk of other cancers; for instance, lobular breast carcinoma has been seen in several of these families.¹⁰ Breast-cancer screening has been recommended to the female carriers of mutations in the families we studied.

REFERENCES

1. Sokoloff B. Predisposition to cancer in the Bonaparte family. Am J Surg 1938;40:673-8.

2. Laurén P. The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma: an attempt at a histo-clinical classification. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand 1965;64:31-49.

3. Jones EG. Familial gastric cancer. N Z Med J 1964;63:287-96.

4. Shafiuddin M, Caminker M, Batra S. Hereditary linitis plastica of the stomach. Am J Gastroenterol 1995;90:2062-3.

5. Guilford P, Hopkins J, Harraway J, et al. E-cadherin germline mutations in familial gastric cancer. Nature 1998;392:402-5.

6. Gayther SA, Goringe KL, Ramus SJ, et al. Identification of germ-line E-cadherin mutations in gastric cancer families of European origin. Cancer Res 1998;58:4086-9.

7. Richards FM, McKee SA, Rajpar MH, et al. Germline E-cadherin gene (CDH1) mutations predispose to familial gastric cancer and colorectal cancer. Hum Mol Genet 1999;8:607-10.

8. Guilford PJ, Hopkins JB, Grady WM, et al. E-cadherin germline mutations define an inherited cancer syndrome dominated by diffuse gastric cancer. Hum Mutat 1999;14:249-55.

9. Keller G, Vogelsang H, Becker I, et al. Diffuse type gastric and lobular breast carcinoma in a familial gastric cancer patient with an E-cadherin germline mutation. Am J Pathol 1999;155:337-42.

10. Caldas C, Carneiro F, Lynch HT, et al. Familial gastric cancer: overview and guidelines for management. J Med Genet 1999;36:873-80.

11. Berx G, Cleton-Jansen AM, Nollet F, et al. E-cadherin is a tumour/ invasion suppressor gene mutated in human lobular breast cancers. EMBO J 1995;14:6107-15.

12. Grady WM, Willis J, Guilford PJ, et al. Methylation of the CDH1 promoter as the second genetic hit in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. Nat Genet 2000;26:16-7.

13. Hartmann LC, Schaid DJ, Woods JE, et al. Efficacy of bilateral prophylactic mastectomy in women with a family history of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 1999;340:77-84.

14. Grann VR, Jacobson JS, Whang W, et al. Prevention with tamoxifen or other hormones versus prophylactic surgery in BRCA1/2-positive women: a decision analysis. Cancer J Sci Am 2000;6:13-20.

15. Lallier M, St-Vil D, Giroux M, et al. Prophylactic thyroidectomy for medullary thyroid carcinoma in gene carriers of MEN2 syndrome. J Pediatr Surg 1998;33:846-8.

16. Steinbach G, Lynch PM, Phillips RKS, et al. The effect of celecoxib, a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, in familial adenomatous polyposis. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1946-52.

17. King JE, Dozois RR, Lindor NM, Ahlquist DA. Care of patients and their families with familial adenomatous polyposis. Mayo Clin Proc 2000; 75:57-67.

18. Kashiwagi H, Spigelman AD. Gastroduodenal lesions in familial adenomatous polyposis. Surg Today 2000;30:675-82.

Copyright © 2001 Massachusetts Medical Society.

We are indebted to Professor Bruce Ponder for his critical review of the manuscript; to Richard J. Zarbo, J. Stephen Ebron, and John E. Mellinger for their clinical involvement with Family 2; to Michelle E. Anderson for her technical assistance with Family 1; and to the patients and their families.